

X-641-70-178
PREPRINT

NASA TM X-63907

A NEW FORMULATION OF CANONICAL PERTURBATION THEORY

DAVID P. STERN

MAY 1970



GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
GREENBELT, MARYLAND

FACILITY FORM 602
(ACCESSION NUMBER)
(PAGES)
TMX 63907
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)
(CODE)
19
(CATEGORY)

A New Formulation of Canonical Perturbation Theory

David P. Stern
Laboratory for Space Physics
Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Abstract

By means of direct canonical transformations, the Poincare-Von Zeipel perturbation method may be modified into a more useful form. Two particular variants of this approach are examined and explicit formulas are given for carrying them out to at least the 4-th order.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, a modification of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is developed, utilizing the direct formulation of canonical transformations. The resulting perturbation scheme is easy to apply and may be related either to the standard Poincaré-Von Zeipel method or to Hori's expansion, depending on the choice of certain expressions entering it.

The solution of a mechanical problem by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation seeks a canonical transformation to new variables, in which the complexity of the problem is reduced. In particular, let us consider a perturbed periodic motion, with p_1 the action variable of the unperturbed motion and with a time-independent Hamiltonian

$$H = p_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k H^{(k)}(\underline{p}, \underline{q}) \quad (1)$$

Then by the Poincaré-Von Zeipel method (Poincaré, 1893; Von Zeipel, 1916; Corben and Stehle, 1960) one seeks a near-identity transformation to new variables $(\underline{P}, \underline{Q})$, given by the generating function

$$\sigma = \sum p_i q_i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k \sigma^{(k)}(\underline{p}, \underline{q}) \quad (2)$$

via

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial \sigma / \partial p_i &= q_i \\ \partial \sigma / \partial q_i &= p_i \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3)$$

In this method, one uses the fact that the new Hamiltonian equals the old one, substituting (3) to obtain

$$H(\partial \sigma / \partial \underline{q}, \underline{q}) = H^*(\underline{P}, \partial \sigma / \partial \underline{P}) \quad (4)$$

When this is expanded in orders of ε , a recursive scheme is obtained and both H^* and σ may be derived order by order, subject to the condition that the transformed angle variable Q_1 is absent from the new Hamiltonian H^* . When this is accomplished, not only has Q_1 been removed from the problem, but its conjugate P_1 has become a constant of the motion, so that the motion represented by H^* has two fewer variables to contend with.

Now a near-identity transformation may be represented in other ways than by equations (2)-(3); these ways may offer more convenience, since σ in (2) depends on both old and new variables and therefore the relations (3) require further untangling to be useful. In particular, we may consider a direct canonical transformation

$$\underline{z} = \underline{y} + \sum_{k=1} \varepsilon^k \underline{\zeta}^{(k)}(\underline{y}) \quad (5)$$

where we will use the notation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \underline{y} &\equiv (\underline{p}, \underline{q}) \\ \underline{z} &\equiv (\underline{P}, \underline{Q}) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

with

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_1 &= P_1 \\ y_n &= q_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

Also, it will be useful to denote by a tilde the vector formed by omitting the component y_n or the one corresponding to it, e.g.

$$\underline{z} = (\underline{\tilde{z}}, z_n) \quad (8)$$

Then, if one substitutes (5) into the basic relation

$$H(\underline{y}) = H^*(\underline{z}) \quad (9)$$

and expands order by order, the appropriate transformation (5) may be derived. Hori (1966) used this approach, with (5) given through Lie's formulation of canonical transformations (Lie, 1888). Here a somewhat different approach (Stern, 1970) will be used, which can be made equivalent either to Hori's or to the Poincare-Von Zeipel method, depending on one's choice of the expression $\underline{f}^{(k)}$, defined later.

2. NOTATION

If the position vector \underline{y} in phase space is defined as in (6), let its conjugate $\bar{\underline{y}}$ be defined

$$\bar{\underline{y}} = (\underline{q}, -\underline{p}) \quad (10)$$

from which, by (7)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{y}_1 &= y_n \\ \bar{y}_n &= -y_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (11)$$

Also, if ∇ operates in \underline{y} -space, we can define there a conjugate gradient operator $\bar{\nabla}$, the i th component of which is $\partial/\partial \bar{y}_i$.

To express functions of \underline{z} in terms of \underline{y} , we shall use Taylor expansion operators $T^{(k)}$ (Musen, 1965), with operation denoted (for clarity) by an asterisk:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\underline{z}) &= G(\underline{y} + \sum \epsilon^k \underline{z}^{(k)}) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k=1} \epsilon^k \underline{z}^{(k)} \cdot \nabla\right) * G(\underline{y}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0} \epsilon^k T^{(k)} * G(\underline{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The $T^{(k)}$ may be obtained by expanding the exponential and regrouping terms according to their order in ϵ ; the first few of them are

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{(0)} &= 1 \\
T^{(1)} &= \zeta^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \\
T^{(2)} &= \zeta^{(2)} \cdot \nabla + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^{(1)} \zeta^{(1)} : \nabla \nabla \\
T^{(3)} &= \zeta^{(3)} \cdot \nabla + \zeta^{(1)} \zeta^{(2)} : \nabla \nabla + (1/6) \zeta^{(1)} \zeta^{(1)} \zeta^{(1)} : : \nabla \nabla \nabla
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}$$

and so forth. In general one can write

$$T^{(k)} = \zeta^{(k)} \cdot \nabla + N^{(k)} \tag{14}$$

where $N^{(k)}$ is an operator involving ∇ at least twice.

3. THE TRANSFORMATION

The relation (5) holds for any near-identity transformation. If ζ is to be a canonical transformation, $\zeta^{(k)}$ must satisfy additional requirements, and it may be shown (Stern, 1970) that these always have the general form

$$\zeta^{(k)} = \underline{f}^{(k)}(\underline{\zeta}) + \bar{\nabla} \chi^{(k)} \tag{15}$$

where $\underline{f}^{(k)}(\underline{\zeta})$ are expressions involving lower orders and $\chi^{(k)}$ is the k -th order of a generalization of the generating function. Many alternative choices of $\underline{f}^{(k)}$ are possible (one can often construct lower order expressions that have the form of a conjugate gradient and add them to $\underline{f}^{(k)}$) but we shall be concerned with two in particular. One may choose

$$f_1^{(k)} = - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} S^{(m)*} \left(\partial \chi^{(k-m)} / \partial \bar{y}_1 \right) \tag{16}$$

where $S^{(m)}$ are operators resembling the $T^{(m)}$ of (13) but with $\underline{z}^{(j)}$ everywhere replaced by

$$\underline{\pi}^{(j)} = (\underline{z}_1^{(j)}, \dots, \underline{z}_{(n/2)}^{(j)}, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (17)$$

that is, with vectors in which the momentum-like parts are retained and the coordinate-like parts are replaced by zeros. It may then be shown (see Stern, 1970, where this choice of $\underline{f}^{(k)}$ is denoted by $\underline{g}^{(k)}$) that in this case

$$\chi^{(k)} = \sigma^{(k)} \quad (18)$$

with $\sigma^{(k)}$ the k-th order "conventional" generating function of equation (2) which describes the same transformation. Since $S^{(m)}$ contains orders of \underline{z} and of χ lower than the k-th, equation (16) must be derived recursively order by order.

Alternatively, $f_1^{(k)}$ may be derived without breaking up $\underline{z}^{(k)}$ into momentum-like and coordinate-like parts. The general method for doing this (Stern, 1970) is too lengthy to be described here, and we shall merely give the lowest orders of the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{f}^{(1)} &= 0 \\ \underline{f}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{z}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)} \\ \underline{f}^{(3)} &= \underline{z}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)} \\ \underline{f}^{(4)} &= \underline{z}^{(3)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{z}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(2)} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} [(\underline{z}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)}) \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(2)} - \underline{z}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla (\underline{z}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)})] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} [(\underline{z}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)}) \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)}] \cdot \nabla \underline{z}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \underline{f}^{(1)} \\ \underline{f}^{(2)} \\ \underline{f}^{(3)} \\ \underline{f}^{(4)} \end{aligned}} \right\} (19)$$

With this choice it may be shown (Stern, 1970) that

$$\chi^{(k)} = -S^{(k)} \quad (20)$$

where $S^{(k)}$ is the k -th order generating function defined by Hori (1966).

4. THE PERTURBATION METHOD

Expanding (9), and using (1) and (12), gives

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 + \sum_{k=1} \epsilon^k H^{(k)}(\underline{y}) &= \sum_{k=0} \epsilon^k H^{*(k)}(\underline{z}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0} \epsilon^k H^{*(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}} + \sum \epsilon^k \underline{\tilde{z}}^{(k)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0} \epsilon^k \sum_{m=0}^k T^{(m)*} H^{*(k-m)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This may be equated order by order, the zeroth order being simply

$$H^{*(0)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) = H^{(0)}(\underline{y}) = y_1 \quad (22)$$

In the $O(\epsilon^k)$ relation we separate the terms with m equal to 0 and k from the rest and use (14) and (22). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(k)}(\underline{y}) &= H^{*(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) + \underline{\tilde{z}}^{(k)} \cdot \nabla y_1 + N^{(k)*} y_1 + \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} T^{(m)*} H^{*(k-m)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In the last equation, $N^{(k)}$ may be dropped, since it contains ∇ twice or more and its action on y_1 thus yields zero. Also, by (11)

$$\underline{\zeta}^{(k)} \cdot \nabla y_1 = \underline{\zeta}_1^{(k)} = f_1^{(k)} + \partial \chi^{(k)} / \partial y_n \quad (24)$$

The final result, which is the basic relation of our perturbation scheme, may thus be written

$$\partial \chi^{(k)} / \partial y_n + H^{*(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) = \Lambda^{(k)}(\underline{y}) \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Lambda^{(k)}(\underline{y}) = H^{(k)}(\underline{y}) - f_1^{(k)}(\underline{\zeta}) - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} T^{(m)} * H^{*(k-m)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) \quad (26)$$

If the variable y_n enters only as an angle with basic period unity, $\partial \chi^{(k)} / \partial y_n$ is clearly periodic, since any nonperiodic part of $\chi^{(k)}$ is removed by the differentiation. If one defines an averaging operator

$$\langle \Lambda^{(k)} \rangle = \int_0^1 \Lambda^{(k)} dy_n \quad (27)$$

then clearly

$$H^{*(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) = \langle \Lambda^{(k)} \rangle \quad (28)$$

$$\chi^{(k)} = \int_0^{y_n} (\Lambda^{(k)} - \langle \Lambda^{(k)} \rangle) dy'_n + M^{(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}}) \quad (29)$$

where $M^{(k)}(\underline{\tilde{y}})$ is an arbitrary function, representing the fact that when y_1 and y_n are eliminated, the other variables may also undergo an arbitrary near-identity transformation among themselves. If no other considerations exist, it may be set equal to zero.

Suppose the calculation has been carried out up to and including order $(k-1)$. We now form $\Lambda^{(k)}$ by (26), derive $H^{*(k)}$ and $\chi^{(k)}$ by (28) and (29), and then obtain $\zeta^{(k)}$ from (15), using the appropriate choice of $\underline{f}^{(k)}$. The derivation is now complete to the k -th order.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Dr. Peter Musen for a stimulating discussion of this work.

REFERENCES

- Corben, H.C., and Stehle, P.: 1960, *Classical Mechanics*, 2nd Ed., John Wiley and Sons; section 75.
- Hori, G.-I.: 1966, *Theory of General Perturbations with Unspecified Canonical Variables*, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan 18, 287-296
- Lie, S.: 1888, *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen*, Teubner, Leipzig
- Musen, P.: 1965, *On the High Order Effects in the Methods of Krylov-Bogoliubov and Poincaré*, J. Astronautical Sciences 12, 129-134
- Poincaré, H.: 1893, *Les Methodes Nouvelles de la Mecanique Celeste*, vol. II, Gauthier-Villars, Paris; reprinted by Dover Publications, New York, 1957; NASA translation TT F-451, 1967.
- Stern, D.: 1970, *Direct Canonical Transformations*, to appear in J. Math. Physics
- Von Zeipel, H.: 1916, Ark. Astr. Mat. Fys. 11, no. 1